NECHE Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators Undergraduate Programs (Psychology - 2019-20)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
_	List ONLY the	For each learning	What were the	Who interprets the	What	Date of most recent
DEGREE	program learning	objective listed in	results/outcomes/findings/conclusion(s)	evidence? Describe the	changes/improvements	program review
GRANTING	objective(s) assessed during the current	column (1), other than GPA, what data/	of the assessment?	process (e.g. annually by the	have been made as a result of using the	
PROGRAM	reporting period	evidence was used to	Explain results/findings/conclusions for	curriculum committee).	data/evidence (3)?	
Name	reporting period	determine that graduates	each program learning objective listed		Link discussion in this	
		have achieved the stated	in column (1)		column with a	
		objectives? (e.g.,			learning objective (1)	
		capstone assignment,			and the results of	
		portfolio review,			assessing that	
D1 1	C1 2 · A · · · ·1	licensure examination)	011 460/	A	objective (3)	A
Psychology	Goal 3: Apply	Capstone	Overall, 46% of the assignments	Annually by the	1. Recommend	An external
	ethical standards	exercises/assignment	(6/13) submitted for evaluation	Psychology and	that capstone	review was done
	to evaluate	s/projects which	required all students to engage	Philosophy	instructors include	in 2018-2019.
	psychological	helped students	ethics in the manner	Department.	at least one	I'm not sure if
	science and	"Apply ethical	operationalized by the	Presentation and	assignment that	that is what you
	practice.	standards to evaluate	department for Goal 3. We	discussion	requires all	are referring to.
		psychological	found that 23% of the	scheduled for	students to engage	
	Also the final	science and practice"	assignments (3/13) submitted	12/14.	with the	
	rubric (Appendix	were used.	for evaluation required critical		application of	
	A) was used for		thinking about personal		ethical standards.	
	our formal		responsibility in important		This is consistent	
	assessment		ways, but not in ways		with course	
	efforts, which		circumscribed by the		description	
	again were		department's operational		language for each	
	conducted in a 2-		definition of Goal 3. Lastly,		capstone (i.e.,	
	step process: we		31% of the assignments (4/13)		"They also apply	
	independently		submitted might engage some		and/or integrate	
	rated each		students in ethical thinking as		knowledge about	
	assignment, then		operationalized. Multiple		the scientific	
	met to discuss		capstone courses fall into each		method, ethics,	
	our ratings and		of the three groups defined		and diversity".) 2.	

resolve	above. Thus in the aggregate,	The scope of the
discrepancies.	ethics plays a robust role in the	rubric developed
	kind of thinking that is done for	excluded
	assignments in capstone	assignments that
	courses. Looking at the	addressed social
	assignments did not show us,	responsibility in a
	however, that every student who	diverse world. It is
	took two capstones during AY	recommended that
	19-20 engaged in the	the department
	application of ethical thinking	evaluate artifacts
	to evaluate psychological	that address
	science and practice.	"social
		responsibility in a
		diverse world"
		during the next
		cycle for Goal 3.
		3. Recommend
		examining other
		parts of the
		curriculum that
		introduce ethical
		thinking, such as
		Psychology
		Research 1 & 2.

Insert URL of the program web page where Program Learning Objectives for this program are published (NECHE requires this as part of being transparent to stakeholders): https://www.framingham.edu/academics/colleges/social-and-behavioral-sciences/psychology-and-philosophy/index